

Passive Agent Markers in the Kyrgyz Language

Noriko OHSAKI

(キルギス語の受動文における動作主表示)

大崎紀子

(pp. 69-89)

Contribution to the Studies for Eurasian Languages series vol.15

『チュルク諸語における固有と外来に関する総合的調査研究』
Native and Loan in Turkic Languages

九州大学人文科学研究院言語学研究室 Department of Linguistics, Graduate School of
Kyushu University／ユーラシア言語研究コンソーシアム The Consortium for Studies of
Eurasian Languages

2009 March

ISBN 978-4-903875-18-7

Passive Agent Markers in the Kyrgyz Language

OHSAKI, Noriko

Center for Eurasian Cultural Studies, Graduate School of Letters, Kyoto University

0. Introduction

Consider the following pair of sentences in the Kyrgyz language, which is one of the Turkic languages spoken in Central Asia:

- (1) a. *Kuu tülkü kiči peyil ayuu-nu alda-dī.*
 wretched fox courteous bear-ACC cheat-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘The wretched fox cheated the courteous bear.’
- b. *Kiči peyil ayuu kuu tülkü-gö alda-n-dī.*
 courteous bear wretched fox-DAT cheat-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘The courteous bear was cheated by the wretched fox.’

Although the same participants appear to play the same semantic roles in both sentences, there is a difference in the assignment of grammatical relations. The patient *kiči peyil ayuu* is the direct object marked by the accusative suffix in (1a), but the subject in (1b); and the agent *kuu tülkü* is the subject in (1a), but an oblique argument marked by the dative suffix in (1b). The suffix *-n*, which is additionally contained in the verb in (1b), is called passive suffix¹, as it signals a shift in grammatical relations observed in (1b).

However, in the Kyrgyz language, there is more than one way to mark agent phrases in passive sentences, as given in (2):

- (2) a. *Kiči peyil ayuu kuu tülkü-dön alda-n-dī.*
 courteous bear wretched fox-ABL cheat-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
- b. *Kiči peyil ayuu kuu tülkü tarab-ı-nan alda-n-dī.*
 courteous bear wretched fox side-3:POSS-ABL cheat-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘The courteous bear was cheated by the wretched fox.’

The agent *kuu tülkü* is marked by the ablative suffix in (2a); it is marked by the

¹ The Kyrgyz language uses suffixes containing *-l* as passive markers, similar to other Turkic languages. The suffix has allomorphs according to vowel harmony, *-il*, *-ıl*, *-ul*, *-ül*, when it attaches to a verb stem ending with a consonant. Moreover, in the Kyrgyz language, the suffix becomes *-(I)n* by dissimilation when it attaches to a stem that contains *l*.

postposition *tarabınan*² in (2b). These three types of passive agent markers (henceforth PAM) —dative suffix, ablative suffix and postposition *tarabınan*—are used in Kyrgyz. I term the passives of the type in (1b) *dative-agent-passives* and those of the type in (2a) *ablative-agent-passives*. In addition, passives of the type in (2b) are called *tarabınan-passives* in this paper.

Observing the cases in (1b) and (2), one might conclude that each of the PAMs equally serves to mark the agent noun phrase in a given passive sentence. However, there are instances where only one of the three is allowed as a PAM, and there also exist passive sentences in which only one of the three is not allowed. They are not always interchangeably used.

This paper examines syntax and semantics of PAMs in the Kyrgyz language and addresses the issue of the extent to which each type of PAM is allowed in a passive sentence. In section 1 below I observe PAMs in other Turkic languages such as Turkish and Azerbaijani. In section 2 the three types of PAMs in Kyrgyz are examined in order of postposition *tarabınan*, dative suffix and ablative suffix.

1. PAMs in Turkic languages

Ščerbak (1981: 106) lists four types of PAMs in Turkic languages.

- | | | | | | | |
|------|--------------|--|---|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| i) | dative | Khak. | <i>ayas-tar</i> | <i>čil-ge</i> | <i>čayxa-l-ča-lar</i> | |
| | | | tree-PL | wind-DAT | shake-PASS-PRES-3PL | |
| | | | ‘The trees are shaken by the wind.’ | | | |
| ii) | ablative | Old-Kipč. | <i>burun</i> | <i>alay</i> | <i>aytildi</i> | <i>päyyambärdän</i> |
| | | | very early | | was told | prophet-ABL |
| | | | ‘It was told very early by the prophet.’ | | | |
| iii) | instrumental | Khak. | <i>anıñ</i> | <i>xol-i-nañ</i> | <i>tıxta-l-yan</i> | <i>traktor-lar</i> |
| | | | his | hand-3.POSS-INST | repair-PASS-VN | tractor-PL |
| | | | ‘The tractor which was repaired by his hand.’ | | | |
| iv) | postposition | (<i>bilän, menen, birlä, arkalı, tarafından, tomonidan, jihatidan</i>) | | | | |
| | | Kyrg. | <i>men</i> | <i>tarab-ım-dan</i> | <i>ayt-ıl-gan</i> | <i>söz</i> |
| | | | I | side-1SG.POSS-ABL | say-PASS-VN | word |
| | | | ‘The words which was told by me.’ | | | |

As mentioned previously, Kyrgyz uses three types of PAMs, namely, dative suffix, ablative suffix, and postposition. It is uncommon in Turkic languages to have more than two ways to express a passive agent when the agent is animate. In Turkish, for

² *Tarabınan* can be analyzed as *tarab-ı-nan* (side-3.POSS-ABL). The possessive suffix changes according to the agreement in person and number with the preceding noun phrase. Examples are *menin tarab-ım-dan* ‘by me’ and *silerdin tarab-ıñar-dan* ‘by you(pl.)’.

example, the animate passive agent is expressed almost exclusively by using the postposition *tarafından* (Göksel and Kerslake 2005: 150), as illustrated in (3).

- (3) Turk. *En iyi oyun birinci sınıf öğrencileri tarafından*
 best good play first grade students POSP
hazırlanmış
 perform:PASS:EV.COP
 ‘The best play was performed by the first year students.’
 (Göksel and Kerslake 2005: 149)

Similarly, in Azerbaijani, which belongs to the Oghuz branch of Turkic languages together with Turkish, postposition *tərəfindən* is mainly used as a PAM.

- (4) Azer. *Ev gənc ustalar tərəfindən tikildi*
 house young master:PL POSP build:PASS:PST
 ‘The house was built by the young masters’ (Sultanzade 2001: 32)

The word *taraf* or *tərəf* (*tarap* in Kyrgyz) has its origin in Arabic. Ščerbak (1981: 106) states that the ablative form of the word *taraf* is used in a certain region of the Turkic-speaking area (Turkish, Azerbaijan, Turkmen, Tatar, Bashkir, Karakalpak, Uzbek, Kazakh, Kyrgyz, and Uyghur).

Both in Turkish and in Azerbaijani, the dative suffix is also used as a PAM; however, it is limited to a small number of verbs. Those verbs often express an “unpleasant” event (Sultanzade 2001: 35), as typified by ‘be defeated’ or ‘be caught’.

- (5) Turk. *Ahmet para çalarken Ayşe-ye yakala-n-di*
 Ahmet money while.stealing Ayshe-DAT catch-PASS-PST
 ‘Ahmet was caught by Ayshe stealing money.’ (Bökesöy 2000: 94)
- (6) Turk. *A takım-ı B takım-ı-na yen-il-di*
 A team-3SG:POSS team-3SG:POSS-DAT win-PASS-PST
 ‘Team A was defeated by Team B.’ (Bökesöy 2000: 94)
- (7) Azer. *Osmanlılar italyanlara basıldılar*
 Ottoman:PL Italian:PL:DAT defeat:PASS:PST/3PL
 ‘The Ottomans were defeated by the Italians’ (Sultanzade 2001: 34)

It is noteworthy that in Old Azerbaijani (thirteenth and fourteenth centuries), the ablative suffix was added directly to the noun phrase in order to express a passive agent, avoiding the word *tərəf* ‘side’ (Sultanzade 2001: 32).

- (8) Old.Azer. *Ey evin Həqdən yapılmış...*
 o house:POSS Truth:ABL build:PASS:PART
 ‘O you, whose house has been created by God’

2. PAMs in the Kyrgyz language

2.1 Three types of PAMs and their distribution

The three types of PAMs in Kyrgyz, namely, the dative suffix, ablative suffix, and postposition, are not always interchangeably used. All of them are allowed in the case of (1b) and (2); however, only the ablative-agent-passive is not allowed in the following case.

- (9) a. Akmat akča uurda-p jat-kan jer-i-nen
 Akmat money steal-CV lie-VN.PST place-3.POSS-ABL
Ayşa-ga karma-l-dī
 Aysha-DAT catch-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
- b. *Akmat akča uurda-p jat-kan jer-i-nen
Ayşa-dan karma-l-dī
 Aysha-ABL catch-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
- c. Akmat akča uurda-p jat-kan jer-i-nen
Ayşa tarab-ı-nan karma-l-dī
 Aysha side-3.POSS-ABL catch-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘Akmat was caught by Aysha when he was stealing money.’

Further, only the dative-agent-passive is not allowed in (10), while only the dative suffix is allowed as a PAM in (11).

- (10) a. **okumuştuu-lar* *tob-u-na* jasa-l-gan bul ilimiy açılış
 scholar-PL group-3.POSS-DAT make-PASS-VN this scientific discovery
- b. **okumuştuu-lar* *tob-u-nan* jasa-l-gan bul ilimiy açılış
 scholar-PL group-3.POSS-ABL make-PASS-VN
- c. **okumuştuu-lar* *tob-u* *tarab-ı-nan* jasa-l-gan
 scholar-PL group-3.POSS side-3.POSS-ABL make-PASS-VN
 bul ilimiy açılış
 ‘This scientific discovery by a group of scientists’ ((10c): KED p.165)
- (11) a. Uul-u-nun ayag-ı it-ke kab-ıl-dı
 son-3.POSS-GEN leg-3.POSS dog-DAT bite-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
- b. *Uul-u-nun ayag-ı it-ten kab-ıl-dı
 son-3.POSS-GEN leg-3.POSS dog-ABL bite-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
- c. *Uul-u-nun ayag-ı it tarab-ı-nan kab-ıl-dı
 son-3.POSS-GEN leg-3.POSS dog side-3.POSS-ABL bite-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘His son’s leg was bitten by the dog.’

The tabular summary of the distribution of PAMs observed above is as follows:

Table 1

verbs	meaning	dative	ablative	<i>tarabınan</i>
<i>alda-n-</i> (1)(2)	‘be cheated’	✓	✓	✓
<i>kalma-l-</i> (9)	‘be caught’	✓	×	✓
<i> jasa-l-</i> (10)	‘be made’	×	✓	✓
<i>kab-ıl-</i> (11)	‘be bitten’	✓	×	×

2.2 *Tarabınan*-passives

Tarabınan-passives are different from the other two passives in their characteristics and usages. *Tarabınan* can be used as a PAM in any passive sentence, without receiving the lexical restrictions of verbs. Although the *tarabınan*-passive is not allowed in (11c), it is accepted when the subject *uulunun ayagı* ‘his son’s leg’ is replaced by *uulu* ‘his son’, as shown below.

- (12) a. **Uul-u-nun ayag-ı it tarab-ı-nan kab-ıl-dı*
 son-3.POSS-GEN leg-3.POSS dog side-3.POSS-ABL bite-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘His son’s leg was bitten by the dog’ (= (11c))
- b. *Uul-u it tarab-ı-nan kab-ıl-dı*
 son-3.POSS dog side-3.POSS-ABL bite-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘His son was bitten by the dog’

The difference in acceptability between (12a) and (12b) should not be attributed to the difference in the animacy of the subject in the passive sentence, since (11a) is a rather natural sentence in Kyrgyz; additionally, the *tarabınan*-passive with the inanimate subject in (13) is allowed.

- (13) a. *Uul-u-nun kol-u čırkey-ge čag-ıl-dı*
 son-3.POSS-GEN arm-3.POSS mosquito-DAT sting-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
- b. **Uul-u-nun kol-u čırkey-den čag-ıl-dı*
 son-3.POSS-GEN arm-3.POSS mosquito-ABL sting-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
- c. *Uul-u-nun kol-u čırkey tarab-ı-nan čag-ıl-dı*
 son-3.POSS-GEN arm-3.POSS mosquito side-3.POSS-ABL sting-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘His son’s arm was bitten by a mosquito’

I cannot clearly explain the difference in acceptability between (11c) and (13c) at this moment; however, at least it is certain that the animacy of the subject has no relation with the difference in acceptability between (12a) and (12b).

The key feature of the *tarabınan*-passive is that it is used in the written language rather than in the spoken language. In the spoken language, it is more preferable to use the dative-agent-passives in (9a) and (11a) than to use the *tarabınan*-passives in

/asker-ler-ga /asker-ler tarab-ı-nan kİR-İL-dİ
 army-PL-DAT army-PL side-3.POSS-ABL slaughter-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘In 1916 so many Kyrgyz were slain by the czarist soldiers.’

Incidentally, inanimate noun phrases that appear with passive verbs are followed by the postposition *menen* as instrumental rather than agent, as given in (17).

- (17) Čöp čalgı menen čab-İL-dİ
 grass scythe with mow-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘The grass was mowed with the scythe.’ (Kudaybergenov 1987: 247)

As the third restriction of *tarabınan*-passives, *tarabınan* hardly follows personal pronouns, as shown below.

- (18) a. Menin but-um Marat tarab-ı-nan bas-İL-dİ
 my foot-1SG.POSS Marat side-3.POSS-ABL press-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘My foot was stepped on by Marat.’
 b. ? Menin but-um anın tarab-ı-nan bas-İL-dİ
 my foot-1SG.POSS his side-3.POSS-ABL press-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 (‘My foot was stepped on by him.’)

In (18), *tarabınan* can follow proper names, but it is less acceptable when *tarabınan* follows the personal pronoun. It can probably be attributed to the informational structure of the sentence. The functions of passive sentences can be regarded as the foregrounding of patients and the backgrounding of agents. When the postposition *tarabınan* marks the agent in passive sentences, the *tarabınan* phrase becomes the focus of the sentence, as it forms a strong correlation between the action and the agent. The focus of a sentence is typically new information. Example (18b) is less acceptable because the personal pronoun is hardly new information, as it always requires antecedent.

Here, the features of *tarabınan*-passives, which I observed above, are listed as follows:

- i) *Tarabınan* can be used as a PAM in almost all passive sentences without receiving the lexical restrictions of verbs.
- ii) *Tarabınan*-passives are used mainly in a written language.
- iii) *Tarabınan*-passives are avoided when a hearer/reader may misunderstand what the *tarabınan* phrase implies.
- iv) *Tarabınan* can mark only animate agents.
- v) *Tarabınan* hardly follows a personal pronoun.

In particular, from features i and ii, it can be stated that *tarabinan*-passives are a unique class, and they should be treated separately from the other two.

2.3 Dative-agent-passives and ablative-agent-passives

To present a conclusion beforehand, I can describe that passive agents are typically marked by the dative suffix in the spoken language in Kyrgyz. However, in certain cases, dative-agent-passives are avoided. On the other hand, ablative suffix can also mark passive agents under certain restrictions. The following sections first examine dative-agent-passives and then ablative-agent-passives by exploring the factors concerning the appearance of these PAMs.

2.3.1 Dative-agent-passives

As stated above, passive agents in Kyrgyz are typically marked by the dative suffix. It can be confirmed from the following sentences. In (19) to (22), (a) provides active sentences while (b) provides corresponding passive sentences.

- (19) a. *Kuu tülkü kiči peyil ayuu-nu alda-dī*
wretched fox courteous bear-ACC cheat-PST-Ø(3)
‘The wretched fox cheated the courteous bear.’ (= (1a))
- b. *Kiči peyil ayuu kuu tülkü-gö alda-n-dī*
courteous bear wretched fox-DAT cheat-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
‘The courteous bear was cheated by the wretched fox.’ (= (1b))
- (20) a. *Ayša Akmat-tī akča uurda-p jat-kan jer-i-nen karma-dī*
Aysha Akmat-ACC money steal-CV lie-VN place-3:POSS-ABL catch-PST-Ø(3)
‘Aysha caught Akmat when he was stealing money.’
- b. *Akmat akča uurda-p jat-kan jer-i-nen*
Akmat money steal-CV lie-VN place-3:POSS-ABL
Ayša-ga karma-l-dī
Aysha-DAT catch-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
‘Akmat was caught by Aysha when he was stealing money.’ (= (9a))
- (21) a. *It uul-u-nun ayag-ī kap-tī*
dog son-3:POSS-GEN leg-3:POSS bite-PST-Ø(3)
‘The dog bit his son’s leg.’
- b. *Uul-u-nun ayag-ī it-ke kab-il-dī*
son-3:POSS-GEN leg-3:POSS dog-DAT bite-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
‘His son’s leg was bitten by the dog.’ (= (11a))
- (22) a. *Stalin čikkīnčī adam-dar-nīn sīr-ī bil-ip kal-dī*
Stalin traitor person-PL-GEN secret-3:POSS know-CV remain-PST-Ø(3)

‘Stalin knew the secret of the traitors.’

- b. Čikkinči adam-dar-nün sİR-İ Stalin-ge
 traitor person-PL-GEN secret-3:POSS Stalin-DAT
 bil-in-ip kal-dİ
 know-PASS-CV remain-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘The secret of the traitors was known to Stalin.’ (= (14))

Each of the subjects in the active sentences in (19a)–(22a) is marked by a dative suffix in the corresponding passive sentence. As stated in section 1, both in Turkish and in Azerbaijani, the dative suffix is used as a PAM with a small number of verbs that express an “unpleasant” event. As observed in (19)–(22), it appears that dative-agent-passives in Kyrgyz may be limited to an “unpleasant” event as well as Turkish and Azerbaijani; however, it is not true. Dative-agent-passives can be used to express other than an “unpleasant” event in Kyrgyz, as illustrated below.

- (23) a. Ata-m meni makta-dİ
 father-1SG:POSS I:ACC praise-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘My father praised me up.’
 b. Men ata-m-a makta-l-dİ-m
 I father-1SG:POSS-DAT praise-PASS-PST-1SG
 ‘I was praised by my father.’
- (24) a. Apa-m üy-dün iç-i-n jÿyna-dİ
 mother-1SG:POSS house-GEN inside-3:POSS-ACC pick.up-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘My mother cleaned up inside of the house.’
 b. Üy-dün iç-i apa-m-a jÿyna-l-dİ
 house-GEN inside-3:POSS mother-1SG:POSS-DAT pick.up-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘The inside of the house was cleaned up by my mother.’
- (25) a. “İtelgi” ordo-su-nda adis-ter kuş-tar-dİ bag-İp jat-a-t
 falcon center-3:POSS-LOC expert-PL bird-PL-ACC raise-CV lie-PRES-3
 ‘At the Falcon Center the experts are taking care of birds.’
 b. “İtelgi” ordo-su-nda kuş-tar adis-ter-ge bag-İl-İp jat-a-t
 bird-PL expert-PL-DAT raise-PASS-CV lie-PRES-3
 ‘At the Falcon Center birds are taken care of by the experts.’
- (26) a. Üč jigit ölkö-nün namİs-i-n korgo-du
 3 young.man nation-GEN dignity-3:POSS-ACC protect-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘The three young men protected the nation’s honor.’
 b. Ölkö-nün namİs-i üç jigit-ke korgo-l-du
 nation-GEN dignity-3:POSS 3 young.man-DAT protect-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘The nation’s honor was protected by the three young men.’

2.3.2 The cases where the dative-agent-passives are not allowed

As observed in the previous section, passive agents are typically marked by a dative suffix in Kyrgyz. However, if the corresponding active sentence contains a dative noun phrase as an argument of the verb, dative-agent-passives are avoided as it may cause the hearer to misunderstand the meaning of the dative complement.

When I say that “dative-agent-passives are avoided” it involves several steps, that is, from the step where no dative-agent-passive is allowed to the step where dative-agent-passives may be acceptable but preferably avoided. First, I observe the cases where no dative-agent-passive is allowed.

- (27) *Biylik ee-ler-i-ge köp ubada-lar ber-il-di*
 authority owner-PL-3.POSS-DAT many promise-PL give-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘Many promises were given to the people (*by the people) in authority.’

The dative noun phrase *eelerige* in (27) is always interpreted as the recipient and not as the agent of the verb *ber-*. If *eeleri* is to be expressed as the agent of the verb *ber-*, it should be marked by the ablative suffix or the postposition *tarabınan*.

- (28) *Biylik ee-ler-i tarab-ı-nan köp ubada-lar ber-il-ip...*
 authority owner-PL-3.POSS side-3.POSS-ABL many promise-PL give-PASS-CV
 ‘Many promises were given by the people in authority.’ (RFE: 2004/11/06)⁴

In the following passive sentence, which contains the phrase *sokku ur-* ‘to strike a blow’, the dative complement is interpreted not as the agent of the verb *ur-* but as the recipient.

- (29) *AKŠ-ga kïyratkïč sokku ur-ul-du*
 USA-DAT destructive blow strike-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘A destructive blow was struck to/against the USA.’

The passive sentence in (29) can be assumed to be the corresponding passive sentence of the active sentence such as below.

- (30) *Terrorist-ter AKŠ-ga kïyratkïč sokku ur-du*
 terrorist-PL USA-DAT destructive blow strike-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘The terrorists struck a destructive blow to/against the USA.’

The direct object of the active sentence in (30) is *sokku* ‘a blow’, while the recipient *AKŠ* is presented as indirect object marked by a dative suffix. The dative

⁴ The data is taken from the web-site: Radio Free Europe Kyrgyz website (=RFE)
<http://www.azattyk.org/>

⁵ *AKŠ: Amerika Košmoš Šitattar(i)*

complement *AKŠga* in the passive sentence in (29) never receives the interpretation as agent of the verb *ur-*, because the semantical property of the dative complement in the active sentence is maintained even when the verb is passivized.

In the case of passive sentences in (27) and (29), the dative complements whose meaning is maintained despite the passivization of the verbs can be regarded as the core arguments of the verbs. In this case, the meaning of the dative complements are always maintained in the corresponding passive sentences; however, there are some cases where the meaning of dative complements is maintained in passives even though they are not regarded as core arguments.

- (31) a. Kat *Ayday-ga* *ĵaz-İL-dİ*
 letter Ayday-DAT write-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘The letter was written for Ayday (*by Ayday).’
 b. Kat *Ayday-dan* *ĵaz-İL-dİ*
 letter Ayday-ABL write-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘The letter was written by Ayday.’

Since the dative complement of the verb *ĵaz-* ‘to write’ is always understood as the recipient or the goal of the action, the passive agent should be marked by ablative suffix as in (31b). Strictly speaking, the recipient/goal of the action is only a periphrastic argument of the verb *ĵaz-*; however, its meaning is maintained even when the verb is passivized.

Similarly in the following instance that contains the verb *paydalan-* ‘to use’, the dative complement receives an interpretation not as the agent of the verb but as the goal/beneficiary of the action.

- (32) Gaz *uşunday* *adam-dar-ga* *paydalan-İL-a-t*
 gas like.this person-PL-DAT use-PASS-PRES-3
 ‘The gas is used for this kind of people.’ (RFE: 2004/12/04)

The sentence in (32) is taken from the speech of one person who was related to the case in which another person was abducted and then released after being tortured with gas. The victim of the case is only one, while the abductors were supposed to be more than one. In spite of such a context, the dative complement *uşunday adamdarga* is never interpreted as the agent of the action.

The verb *paydalan-* implies ‘to use, to make use of’, derived from the Arabic originated noun *payda* ‘profit, benefit’. The verb takes the dative complement as the goal/beneficiary. The instance in (32) implies that the meaning of this dative complement is maintained even when the verb is passivized.

Although the dative complements that appear with such verbs as *jaz-* and *paydalan-* cannot be regarded as “core arguments” of the verb, they are something more than adjuncts, since their appearance depends on the verb or the core argument complements. Dixon and Aikhenvald (2000) term these types of complements as “extension to core.” The dative complements as goal/beneficiary that occur with the verbs *jaz-* and *paydalan-* are considered to have special status as “extension to core.” In this case as well as in the case of core arguments, such dative complements cannot bear the semantic function as agent when the verb is passivized.

Further, in the case of the following verb *jabdī-* ‘to equip, supply’, the recipient of the supply is marked by an accusative suffix, while the supplies are presented by the postposition *menen* ‘with’, as shown in (33).

- (33) *Čet ölkö-lör* *Kirgizstan-da* *Islamdik* *maanaydagı* *uyum-dar-dı*
 foreign.country-PL Kyrgyzstan-LOC Islamic attitude organization-PL-ACC
akča *menen* *jabdī-p* *tur-a-t*
 money with supply-CV stand-PRES-3
 ‘Foreign countries are supplying pro-Islamic organization with money in Kyrgyzstan.’

No dative complement appears with the verb *jabdī-*; however, the dative complement that appear with the passive verb *jabdī-l-*, as in (34), is never interpreted as agent; rather, it is interpreted as recipient.

- (34) *Kirgizstan-da* *Islamdik* *maanaydagı* *uyum-dar*
 Kyrgyzstan-LOC Islamic attitude organization-PL
čet ölkö-lör-dön */*čet ölkö-lör-gö* *jabdī-l-p* *tur-a-t*
 foreign.country-PL-ABL (*foreign.country-PL-DAT) supply-PASS-CV stand-PRES-3
 ‘In Kyrgyzstan pro-Islamic organization is being supplied by foreign countries.’

The dative complement is neither a core argument nor an “extension to core,” since no dative complement is involved in the active sentence with the verb *jabdī-*. However, with verbs such as *jabdī-*, which imply movement or transfer of something, dative complements are always related to the goals of the action—the recipients. Therefore, the dative suffix can never mark the passive agent in (34).

Now I shall focus on the cases where dative-agent-passives may be acceptable but preferably avoided. Some dative complements in active sentences express the purpose of the action denoted by the verb. The dative complement in (35a) expresses “benefactive” and the one in (35b) expresses “desiderative.”

- (35) a. Ayša *bala-sī-na* koy-du *bag-ïp* tur-a-t
 Aysha child-3:POSS-DAT sheep-ACC raise-CV stand-PRES-3
 ‘Aysha is raising the sheep for her child.’
- b. Tuugan-ibüz Almaz *akča-ga* küyöö-m Akimbek-ti
 relative-1PL:POSS Almaz money-DAT husband-1SG:POSS Akimbek-ACC
 muuzda-p *öl-tür-dü*
 slit.throat-CV die-CAUS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘Our relative Almaz murdered my husband Akimbek over money.’

When the corresponding passive sentences contain dative complements like above, the dative complements can be interpreted as either the purpose of the action or the agent of the action.

- (36) a. Koy *baldar-ga* *bag-ïl-ïp* jat-a-t
 sheep children-DAT raise-PASS-CV lie-PRES-3
 ‘The sheep is being raised for children/ by children.’
- b. Akimbek *mamleket-ke* *öl-tür-ïl-dü*
 Akimbek nation-DAT die-CAUS-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘Akimbek was murdered for the good of the nation/ by the nation.’

Although the context decides which interpretation should be prioritized, dative-agent-passives are usually avoided because dative marking may cause the hearer to misunderstand.

2.3.3 Ablative-agent-passives

The ablative suffix can mark passive agents as I have observed in section 2.1; however, it seems that the ablative complement in a passive sentence only presents the cause of the event or the source of the action in most of the cases. That is, an ablative suffix is regarded as a PAM when the expression of the cause of the event or the source of the action coincides with the expression of the agent of the action. This observation is concerned with the two constraints on ablative-agent-passives discussed below. In section 2.3.3.2, I describe the cases where the ablative suffix appears to mark the passive agent itself.

2.3.3.1 Two constraints on ablative-agent-passives

Ablative-agent-passives are not allowed or preferably avoided in the following two cases:

- i) When the corresponding active sentence contains an ablative complement, or, when a passive sentence may contain an ablative complement other than agentive

phrase, the ablative-agent-passives are avoided because ablative marking may cause the hearer to misunderstand.

- ii) Since an ablative phrase typically expresses the cause of the event or the source of the action, ablative-agent-passives are not accepted when the action by the agent directly affects the object.

First, I examine the former constraint. The verb *karma-* ‘to catch’ is often accompanied by the ablative complement which implies “whence, from where,” as shown in (37).

- (37) *Ayša Akmat-ti akča uurda-p jat-kan jer-i-nen karma-di*
 Aysha Akmat-ACC money steal-CV lie-VN place-3:POSS-ABL catch-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘Aysha caught Akmat when he was stealing money.’ (=20a)

The ablative complement in the corresponding passive sentence is not interpreted as the passive agent, as in (38), but rather interpreted as the source of the action.

- (38) *Akmat akča uurda-p jat-kan jer-i-nen*
 Akmat money steal-CV lie-VN.PST place-3:POSS-ABL
 **Ayša-dan / Ayša-ga karma-l-di*
 (Aysha-ABL) Aysha-DAT catch-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘Akmat was caught by Aysha when he was stealing money.’ (=9a,b)

Incidentally, the ill-formedness of the ablative complement in (38) should not be attributed to the sequence of ablative phrases, since the Kyrgyz language is rather permissive with the sequence of ablative phrases compared to the sequence of accusative or dative phrases, as illustrated below.

- (39) *Al joopkersizdig-i-nen kizmat-i-nan bošot-ul-du*
 he irresponsibility-3:POSS-ABL job-3:POSS-ABL release-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘He was fired from his job because of his irresponsibility.’ (Tursunov 1987: 145)

The ablative complements that accompany passive verbs such as *al-in-* ‘to be taken’ and *uurda-l-* ‘be stolen’ are also usually interpreted as the source of the action, not as the agent of the action, as given in (40) and (41).

- (40) *Taškent-tin borbor-u-ndagi Karl Marks-tin estelig-i*
 Tashkent-GEN center-3:POSS-located Karl Marx- GEN statue-3:POSS
 **kizmatker-ler-den / kizmatker-ler-ge al-in-ip tašta-l-di*
 (worker-PL-ABL) worker-PL-DAT take-PASS-CV throw.away-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘The statue of Karl Marks at the center of Tashkent was removed by the workers’

- (41) Nan product-ĩ-lar kombinat-ĩ-nan 60 kg buuday
 bread product-3:POSS-PL combine-3:POSS-ABL 60 kg wheat
 eki **uuru-dan* /*uuru-ga* *uurda-l-dĩ*
 2 (thief-ABL) thief-DAT steal-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘From the large bakery 60 kg of wheat was stolen by two thieves.’

With verbs such as *karma-* ‘to catch’, *al-* ‘to take’, and *uurda-* ‘to steal’, which imply movement or transfer of something, the ablative complements are always related to the sources of the movement and hardly interpreted as passive agents.

Further, the verb *korgo-* ‘to protect’ is often accompanied by the ablative complement which implies “(to protect) from/against what.” The ablative suffix cannot mark the passive agent because the implication of the ablative phrase is understood in the same way as in the active sentence, as shown in (42).

- (42) a. Ölkö-nün namıs-ĩ üç *jigit-ke* *korgo-l-du*
 nation-GEN dignity-3:POSS 3 young.man-DAT protect-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘The nation’s honor was protected by the three young men.’ (=26b))
- b. Ölkö-nün namıs-ĩ üç *jigit-ten* *korgo-l-du*
 nation-GEN dignity-3:POSS 3 young.man-ABL protect-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘The nation’s honor was protected against (the infringement by) the three young men.’

The dative complement *jigit-ke* in (42a) is understood as the agent of the verb *korgo-* ‘to protect’, namely, ‘the one who protected the nation’s honor’, while the ablative complement *jigit-ten* in (42b) is interpreted as “the one who disgraced the nation.”

The ablative complements that accompany such verbs as *karma-* ‘to catch’, *al-* ‘to take’, *uurda-* ‘to steal’, and *korgo-* ‘to protect’ cannot be regarded as the core arguments of the verbs; however, they are considered to have a status as “extension to core.” Similar to the dative complements which I observed in section 2.3.2, any of these ablative complements cannot bear the semantic function as agent when the verbs are passivized.

Now, I shall describe the second constraint on ablative-agent-passives. As mentioned above, ablative-agent-passives are not accepted when the action of the agent directly affects the object. This constraint is attributed to the typical semantic function of ablative phrases. Ablative phrases in Kyrgyz usually express meanings such as follows:

- i) the source of the action: Ömürbek *bazaar-dan* *kayt-tĩ*
 Ömürbek market-ABL return-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘Ömürbek returned from the market.’

- ii) starting point of time: Al *jaš-ï-nan* iš-ke biš-ïp kanık-kan
 he youth-3.POSS-ABL job-DAT ripen-CV know-VN:PST
 ‘He has been proficient at the job from his earlier years.’
- iii) partitive: Al *Düşönkul-du* kekirtek-ten mıkçı-dı
 he Düşönkul-ACC throat-ABL squeeze-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘He grabbed Düşönkul’s neck.’ (Tursunov 1987: 144)
- iv) material: Arık *mal-dın et-i-nen* jasa-l-gan kolbasa
 lean livestock-GEN meat-3.POSS-ABL make-PASS-VN sausage
 ‘The sausage made from the meat of lean livestock.’ (KED: 53)
- v) cause: Eč bir kılmişker «Men *ačkalık-tan* uşu-ga bar-dı-m»
 none criminal I hunger-ABL this-DAT go-PST-1SG
 de-p ayt-a elek
 say-CV say-CV not.yet
 ‘No criminal says that “I Int there because of hunger.”’
- vi) comparative criteria: Mosköö *Vaşington-don* biyik
 Moscow Washington-ABL big
 ‘Moscow is bigger than Washington.’ (KED: 93)
- vii) origin: Asan *Talas-tan*
 Asan Talas-ABL
 ‘Asan is from Talas.’

The reason the ablative-agent-passives in (11b) and (13b) are unacceptable is that it is not appropriate to mark the passive agents with the ablative suffix when the agents directly affect the patients. Both instances are repeated below.

- (43) a. Uul-u-nun ayag-ï **it-ten* /it-ke kab-ıl-dı
 son-3.POSS-GEN leg-3.POSS (dog-ABL) dog-DAT bite-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘His son’s leg was bitten by the dog.’ (= (11a,b))
- b. Uul-u-nun kol-u **čirkey-den* /čirkey-ge čag-ıl-dı
 son-3.POSS-GEN arm-3.POSS (mosquito-ABL) mosquito-DAT sting-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘His son’s arm was bitten by a mosquito’ (= (13a,b))

Similarly, with verbs such as *teb-il-* ‘be kicked’ and *öltür-ül-* ‘be killed’, the ablative suffix cannot mark the passive agents because the actions by the agents directly affect the patients.

- (44) Bala **at-tan* /at-ka teb-il-di
 child (horse-ABL) horse-DAT kick-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘The child was kicked by the horse.’
- (45) Küyöö-m Akimbek **Almaz-dan* /Almaz-ga öltür-ül-dü
 husband-1SG.POSS Akimbek (Almaz-ABL) Almaz-DAT kill-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘My husband Akimbek was murdered by Almaz.’ (cf. (35b)(36b))

In the cases of verbs such as *makta-l-* ‘be praised’ and *jıyna-l-* ‘be cleaned up’, the

- b. A tob-u B tob-u-nan /tob-u-na j̣eŋ-il-di
 A team-3.POSS B team-3.POSS-ABL team-3.POSS-DAT win-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘Team A was defeated by the Team B.’

The subject of the active sentence in (49a) can be presented in either ablative or dative form in the corresponding passive sentence in (49b). No significant difference is found between the ablative marking and the dative marking of the passive agent. What is distinctive about the verb *j̣eŋ-il-* is that the ablative suffix can mark the passive agent despite the fact that the active verb *j̣eŋ-* ‘to win’ can be accompanied by the ablative phrase which imply “from where,” as shown in (50).

- (50) a. *Taekwondo-don* ḍüynölük čenpionat-ta Kırğızstandık
 taekwondo-ABL world championship-LOC Kyrgyzstan
 üç j̣igit 11 medal’ j̣eŋ-di
 3 young.man 11 medal win-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘(lit.)From taekwondo, at the world championship, Kyrgyzstan three young men won eleven medals.’ (RFE: 2004/10/29)
- b. *konkurs-tan* j̣eŋ-ip čik-kan
 contest-ABL win-CV come.out-VN:PST
 ‘won the competition’ (KED: 169)

It seems that the ablative suffix can mark both of the conflicting parties, since both “the winner” in (49b) and the expression of “from where the winner won a victory” in (50a,b) are presented in the ablative form. Comparing the fact that the ablative marking is usually avoided when it may cause the hearer to misunderstand, as I observed in the previous section, the ablative-agent-passives with the verb *j̣eŋ-il-* seem to be free from the constraint.

Similarly, with the verb *ut-ul-* ‘to lose’, the ablative suffix can mark “the winner” in (51), while “the loser” is also in the ablative form with the verb *ut-*, as in (52).

- (51) *Respublika-biz-din* komanda-si *azerbayjan-dar-ga*
 republic-1PL.POSS-GEN team-3.POSS azerbaijan-PL-DAT
 /*azerbayjan-dar-dan* 2:3 eseb-i-nde *ut-ul-up* kal-di
 azerbaijan-PL-ABL 2:3 point-3.POSS-LOC win-PASS-CV remain-PST-Ø(3)
 ‘Our republic’s team lost to the Azerbaijanis 2 to 3.’
- (52) Men *sen-den* köp akča *ut-p* al-di-m
 I you-ABL much money win-CV take-PST-1SG
 ‘I won much money from you.’ (KRS: 808)

It may be possible to consider that the ablative markings in (49b) and in (51) are the marking of passive agent itself, not the expression of the cause of the event or the

source of the action.

It should be noted that the passive verbs *jeŋ-il-* and *ut-ul-* have common characteristic that they do not allow inanimate subjects, as shown below.

- (53) a. *11 medal' *jeŋ-il-di*
 11 medal win-PASS-PST-Ø(3)
 b. *Köp akča *ut-ul-du*
 much money win-PASS-PST-Ø(3)

Both *jeŋ-* 'to win' and *ut-* 'to win, gain' have a long history in Turkic languages. I can find these verbs in the literature between the eighth and eleventh centuries: *er ani: yeŋdi*: 'the man defeated him' (EDPT: 942, DLT3-391), (a gambler) *tokuzo:n boş koñ utmi:ŷ* 'won ninety ownerless sheep' (EDPT: 38, IrkB29). No further discussion on this issue is to be made in this paper; however, I can argue that the ablative-agent-passives with verbs such as *jeŋ-il-* and *ut-ul-* are a unique class, and they should be treated separately from other ablative-agent-passives.

3. Summary

In this paper, I examined the distribution of the passive agent markers in the Kyrgyz language and presented a descriptive account of the three types of passive agent markers. The postposition *tarabınan* is used to mark the passive agents mostly in a written language. The dative suffix is the most typical passive agent marker in Kyrgyz; however, the dative marking is avoided when the dative phrase may be understood as other than the passive agent. The ablative suffix is also used to mark the passive agents; however, the ablative marking is avoided not only when the ablative phrase may cause the hearer to misunderstand, but also when the passive agent cannot be regarded as the cause of the event or the source of the action. Lastly, I mentioned a certain idiosyncratic cases of the ablative-agent-passives.

Abbreviations

ABL	ablative	PL	plural
ACC	accusative	POSP	postposition
CAUS	causative suffix	POSS	possessive
CV	converb	PRES	present/future tense
DAT	dative	PST	past tense
EV.COP	evidential copula	SG	singular
GEN	genitive	VN	verbal noun
LOC	locative	1/2/3	first/second/third person
PASS	passive suffix		

Azer.	Azerbaijani	Old Kipč.	Old Kipchak
Khak.	Khakas	Turk.	Turkish
Kyrg.	Kyrgyz		

References

- Bökesöy, Deniz (2000) Nihongo to Torukogo no judoobun ni tsuite [On the passive sentences in Japanese and in Turkish] (written in Japanese). *Tsukuba Journal of Applied Linguistics* 7, 85-98. University of Tsukuba.
- Clauson, Sir Gerard (1972) *An Etymological Dictionary of Pre-Thirteenth-Century Turkish*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. [=EDPT]
- Dixon, Robert M.W. and Alexandra Y. Aikhenvald (2000) Introduction. In Dixon, R.M.W. and A.Y. Aikhenvald (eds.) *Changing valency: Case studies in transitivity*, 1-29. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Drevnetyurkskiy Slovar'*. (1969) Leningrad. [=DTS]
- Göksel, Asli and Celia Kerslake (2005) *Turkish: A comprehensive grammar*. New York: Routledge.
- Krippes, Karl A. (1998) *Kyrgyz-English Dictionary*. Kensington: Dunwoody Press. [=KED]
- Kudaybergenov, Sarıbay (1987) Kategoriya zaloga. In Zaxarova, O.V. (ed.), *Grammatika kirgizskogo literaturnogo yazıka 1 : Fonetika i morfologiya*, 238-253. Frunze: Ilim.
- Musaev, Talaipek (2005) *The Epos Manas Concordance*. Graduate School of International Development, Nagoya University.
- May, Walter (2004) *Manas*. Biškeek: Rarity.
- Sultanzade, Vügar (2001) A retrospective view of the passive, reflexive, reciprocal, causative in Azerbaijani. *Turkic Languages* 5: 29-67.
- Ščerbak, Aleksandr Mixaylovič (1981) *Očerki po sravnitel'noy morfologii tyurkskix yazikov (glagol)*. Moskva: Nauka.
- Tursunov, A. (1987) Kategoriya padežia. In Zaxarova, O.V. (ed.), *Grammatika kirgizskogo literaturnogo yazıka 1 : Fonetika i morfologiya*, 135-145. Frunze: Ilim.
- Yudaxin, Konstantin Kyz'mič (1965) *Kirghizsko-russkiy slovar'*. Sovetskaya Enciklopediya. [=KRS]

キルギス語の受動文における動作主表示

大崎 紀子

京都大学大学院文学研究科附属ユーラシア文化研究センター

キルギス語において、対応する能動文を持つ典型的な受動文では、意味上の動作主を統語上で表示することができる。受動文において意味上の動作主を表示する方法には、与格によるもの、奪格によるもの、そして *tarabınan* という後置詞によるものの三通りの方法があるが、この三通りの動作主表示方法は、すべての受動文において許容されるわけではない。本論文では、キルギス語の受動文における三通りの動作主表示方法の分布を調べ、それぞれの動作主表示方法がどのような場合に許容され、どのような場合に許容されないかを、他のチュルク語に見られる受動文の動作主表示方法を考慮に入れながら、統語的、意味論的に考察し、記述を行った。

まず、後置詞 *tarabınan* による動作主表示は、主に文章語で用いられ、動詞の語彙的な制限が関わることなく、ほとんどの動詞に基づく受動文において用いることができる。しかし、*tarabınan* 後置詞句が他の意味に誤解されるおそれのある場合や、動作主が無生物である場合、また *tarabınan* が人称代名詞に後続する場合は、*tarabınan* による動作主表示が避けられる。

キルギス語の受動文における最も基本的な動作主表示方法は、与格による表示だと認められる。一方、奪格による表示は、動作主が「原因」や「起点」として捉えられる場合に限って容認される。どちらの場合も、与格や奪格による表示が動作主表示以外の意味に誤解されるおそれのある場合には避けられるが、このことは、動詞の項構造という観点から説明が可能である。すなわち、能動文において動詞の必須項、あるいはこれに準ずるような与格／奪格名詞句をとる場合には、その与格／奪格名詞句の意味が受動文においても保持され、与格／奪格による動作主表示が成り立たない。

また、いくつかの動詞に基づく受動文においては、特殊な振る舞いを見せる奪格動作主表示が見られることについても述べた。